

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Pending Claims

Claims 1-14 and 17-27 are pending currently and are directed to a method for producing an automotive vehicle door. Reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

The Amendments to the Specification

The specification has been amended to include section headings as requested by the Examiner. No new matter has been added by way of these amendments.

The Amendments to the Claims

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to recite the method steps of "providing a supporting frame having an opening" and "sealing the opening." In addition, claims 1 and 2 have been amended to remove the phrases "such as" and "or the like." Claims 4 and 18 have been amended to recite "polypropylene long glass fiber material" instead of only the abbreviation PPLGF. Support for these amendments can be found in the instant specification at, for example, page 5, lines 26-27. Claim 9 has been amended to delete the phrase "detachably or non-detachably" and "and/or" as suggested by the Examiner. Claims 6 and 20 have been amended to delete the phrase "or foaming." Claims 10 and 24 have been amended to delete the phrase "cast or." Claims 11 and 25 have been amended to delete the phrase "or multi-part." Claims 13 and 27 have been amended to delete the phrase "preferably more than 0.5." No new matter has been added by way of these amendments.

Summary of the Office Action

The drawings are objected to for failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Claims 4 and 18 are objected to as containing informalities. Claims

1-14 and 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-14 and 16-27 are indicated as being otherwise allowable.

The Objections to the Drawings

The objections to the drawings are moot in view of the amendments to the claims. Specifically, claims 6 and 20 have been amended to delete the phrase “or foaming,” claims 10 and 24 have been amended to delete the phrase “cast or,” and claims 11 and 25 have been amended to delete the phrase “or multi-part.” Accordingly, the objections to the drawings are moot and should be removed.


The Objections and Rejections to the Claims

The objections and rejections of the claims are moot in view of the amendments to the claims. Specifically, claims 4 and 18 have been amended to include the full terms of the abbreviation. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to include method steps and to delete the phrases “such as” and “or the like.” Claim 9 has been amended to delete the phrase “detachably or non-detachably and to replace “and/or” with “or.” Accordingly, the objections and rejections are moot and should be removed.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the patent application is in condition for allowance. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Salim A. Hasan', is written over a horizontal line.

Salim A. Hasan, Reg. No. 38,175
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6731
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: June 29, 2007